

EVALUATION GRID

	Maximum
Organisation and methodology	
Rationale	[10] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
Strategy	[30] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
Back-up function	[5] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
Involvement of all members of the consortium	[5] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
Timetable of activities, including the number of	[10] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
expert days proposed	
	[60] [<insert a<="" td=""></insert>
	score between
Total score for organisation and methodology	60 and 40>]
W7	
Key experts	
Key expert 1	
Qualifications and skills	[6] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
General professional experience	[6] [<other>]</other>
Specific professional experience	[10] [<other>]</other>
The second secon	[-1[-1
Key expert 2	
Qualifications and skills	[4] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
General professional experience	[4] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
Specific professional experience	[10] [< <mark>other</mark> >]
	[40] [<insert a<="" td=""></insert>
	score between
Total score for key experts	40 and 60>]
Overall total score	100

Strengths	
Weaknesses	

NB: Only tenders with average scores of at least 75 points qualify for the financial evaluation.