

EVALUATION GRID

| | Maximum |
|---|--|
| Organisation and methodology | |
| Rationale | [10] [<other>] |
| Strategy | [30] [<other>] |
| Back-up function | [5] [<other>] |
| Involvement of all members of the consortium | [5] [<other>] |
| Timetable of activities, including the number of expert days proposed | [10] [<other>] |
| | |
| Total score for organisation and methodology | [60] [<insert a score between 60 and 40>] |
| | |
| Key experts | |
| | |
| Key expert 1 | |
| Qualifications and skills | [5] [<other>] |
| General professional experience | [5] [<other>] |
| Specific professional experience | [10] [<other>] |
| | |
| Key expert 2 | |
| Qualifications and skills | [5] [<other>] |
| General professional experience | [5] [<other>] |
| Specific professional experience | [10] [<other>] |
| | |
| Total score for key experts | [40] [<insert a score between 40 and 60>] |
| | |
| Overall total score | 100 |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Strengths | |
| Weaknesses | |

NB: Only tenders with average scores of at least 75 points qualify for the financial evaluation.